

2019-2020 HONOR BOARD CASE SUMMARIES

In an Honor Board Hearing, the board considered allegations that a student had violated Regulation 2 [Plagiarism] and Regulation 5 [Deception] of the Wesleyan University Honor Code. The board found the student responsible for violating Regulation 2 but not Regulation 5. The student was sanctioned with receiving a letter grade demotion from a “B+” to a “D” for the assignment.

In an Honor Board Hearing, the board considered allegations that students A and B violated, Regulation 4 [Willful Falsification of Data] and Regulation 5 [Deception] of the Wesleyan University Honor Code. The board found student A not responsible for violating Regulation 4 or 5, but sanctioned Student A with a letter of censure. The board found the student B responsible for violating Regulation 5, but not Regulation 4 and student B was sanctioned with a grade demotion from “C+” to “C”.

In an Honor Board Hearing, the board considered allegations that a student violated Regulations 1 [Give or obtain assistance without due acknowledgement] and Regulation 5 [Deception] of the Wesleyan University Honor Code. The board found the student responsible for violating Regulations 1 and 5 and the student was sanctioned with a failure of the assignment.

In an Honor Board Hearing, the board considered allegations that a student violated Regulation 2 [Plagiarism] of the Wesleyan University Honor Code. The board found the student responsible for violating Regulation 2 and sanctioned the student with a semester's suspension and a failure of the assignment.

In an Honor Board Hearing, the board considered allegations that a student had violated Regulation 2 [Plagiarism] and Regulation 5 [Deception] of the Wesleyan University Honor Code. The board found the student responsible for both violations and sanctioned the student with a failure for the assignment and the student was placed on disciplinary probation.

In an Honor Board Hearing, the board considered allegations that a student violated Regulation 2 [Plagiarism] of the Wesleyan University Honor Code. The Board found the student responsible for violating Regulation 2 and sanctioned the student with a letter of censure, a grade of “0” on the assignment and a mandatory meeting with their class dean.

In an Honor Board Hearing, the board considered allegations that a student violated Regulation 1 [Give or obtain assistance without due acknowledgement] and Regulation 2 [Plagiarism] of the Wesleyan University Honor Code. The Board found the student responsible for Regulation 1, but not responsible Regulation 2 and the student was placed on academic probation and received a “0” for the assignment.

In an Honor Board Hearing, the board considered allegations that Students A and B violated Regulation 1 [Give or obtain assistance without due acknowledgement] and Regulation 5 [Deception] of the Wesleyan University Honor Code. The board found both students A and B responsible for both violations and the students received a failing grade for the class.

In an Honor Board Hearing, the board considered allegations that a student violated Regulation 1 [Give or obtain assistance without due acknowledgement] and Regulation 5 [Deception] of the Wesleyan University Honor Code. The board found the student responsible for violating Regulation 1 and 5 and the student was given a “0” on the final exam and was placed on academic probation.

In an Honor Board Hearing, the board considered allegations that a student violated Regulation 2 [Plagiarism] of the Wesleyan University Honor Code. The board found the student responsible and sanctioned the student with a “0” for the assignment.

In an Honor Board Hearing, the board considered allegations that a student had violated Regulation 1 [Give or obtain assistance without due acknowledgment] and Regulation 2 [Plagiarism] of the Wesleyan University Honor Code. The Board found the student responsible for Regulation 1, but not responsible for Regulation 2, and the student was placed on academic probation and received a “0” for the assignment.

In an Honor Board Hearing, the board considered allegations that a student had violated Regulations 2 [Plagiarism] and Regulation 5 [Deception] of the Wesleyan University Honor Code. The board found the student responsible for Regulation 2, but not responsible for Regulation 5, and the student received a letter grade demotion from an “A” to a “D”.